

## THE FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA



### EYES ONLY - CONFIDENTIAL

American Embassy, Paris, October 26, 1962

Dear Dean:

With reference to your telegram No. 2351, October 24, 4 I had the opportunity while dining alone with the Sulzbergers to take up the subject the boss wanted me to mention to him. Sulzberger, for a correspondent who had already gone to prin was definitely chagrined over his column of October 24. He had been undoubtedly impressed . ' the statements made recently by McNamara, Mac Bundy, yourself and others warning the American people about the imminence of a crisis and had put two and two together and gotten five in regard to the Cuban situation. When I explained to him that these warnings were due to indications we had had of Khrushchev's attitude and did not have any relation to a "Kennedy Doctrine" on Cuba, and explained to him the timing and necessary speed in the Cuban matter, he appeared to accept this completely. I feel for the moment at least that there will be no comparable 66 repetition by Sulzberger of this thesis.

66)

Incidentally, Cy left today for the United States and I think will be going down to Washington where he will undoubtedly try to see you and the President. You might care to pass on to the President the foregoing if there is any question of either of you seeing him.

10/01

There is inevitably over here a slight feeling somewhat along the lines of Cy's piece, namely that the Cuban decision was based on larger and even extraneous consideration, but on the whole there is nothing I think that we could complain

about in

The Honorable

Dean Rusk,

Secretary of State.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE APPROVED

ENVIRONMENT OF STATE APPROVED

OPERATE OF STA

#### EYES ONLY - CONFIDENTIAL

- 2 -

about in regard to the attitude of the French Government. The press so far has been quite steady. Of course future events may bring about changes, although my judgment is that de Gaulle will stand very steady with us no matter what comes.

I am writing you this since there seemed to be no urgency in the reply and I didn't want to clutter up your life with a further cable.

Yours,

Charles Er-Bohlen

### **EXCISED COPY FOLLOWS**



# THE FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

H-ry Johnson (61)

### EYES ONLY - CONFIDENTIAL

American Embassy, Paris, October 26, 1962

Dear Dean:

With reference to your telegram No. 2351, October 24,  I had the opportunity while dining alone with
to take up the subject the boss wanted me to mention to him.
was definitely chagrined over his column He
had been undoubtedly impressed by the statements made re- cently by McNamara, Mac Bundy, yourself and others warning
the American people about the imminence of a crisis and had put two and two together and gotten five in regard to the Cuban
situation. When I explained to him that these warnings were
due to indications we had had of Khrushchev's attitude and did not have any relation to a "Kennedy Doctrine" on Cuba,
and explained to him the timing and necessary speed in the
Cuban matter, he appeared to accept this completely. I feel for the moment at least that there will be no comparable
repetition of this thesis.

A (1)

think will be going down to Washington where he will undoubtedly try to see you and the President. You might care to pass on to the President the foregoing if there is any question of either of you seeing him.

16

There is inevitably over here a slight feeling somewhat along piece, namely that the Cuban decision was based on larger and even extraneous consideration, but on the whole there is nothing I think that we could complain

about in:

	The Honorable Dean Rusk, Secretary	of State.	DEPARTMENT OF STATE A/CIDC/MB  REVIEWED by DATE  (*) RILLIAGE (X) DECLASSIFY in PART  (*) DELIY ( ) Non-responsive into.
87-	DOS 02134	PB	FOI, EO or PA exemptions

### EYES ONL" - CONFIDENTIAL

- 2 -

about in regard to the attitude of the French Government. The press so far has been quite steady. Of course future events may bring about changes, although my judgment is that de Gaulle will stand very steady with us no matter what comes.

I am writing you this since there seemed to be no urgency in the reply and I didn't want to clutter up your life with a further cable.

Yours,

Charles E. Bobles